PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

R P P D I W #D

MAY 3 1 7005

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

MARK VATUONE

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

7TH FLOOR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

MZM

BLAKELY BOXOLOF TAYLOR & ZAFRANI (LIP

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

UN - 1 2005

, , ,

Date of Mailing (cast Britisher)

26 MAY 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

3801.P698PCT

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US63/41535

30 December 2003 (30.12.2003)

31 December 2002 (31.12.2002)

Applicant

EBAY, INC.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the
 report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEAAIS

Mail Stop PCT, Ann. IPEAUS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Vincent Millin

Telephone No. 703-306-5486

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

NIVO

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 3801 P098PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	TION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	thiyear) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US03/41535	30 December 2003 (30.12.2003)	31 December 2002 (31.12.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) o	e national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): G06 17/60 and US CL: 705/37 Applicant						
EBAY, INC.						
Examining Authority and i	s transmitted to the applicant ac					
2. This REPORT consists of s	s total of $igstyle 2$ sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
 This report contains indicate 	ions relating to the following is	ems;				
Basis of the report						
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report				
10 June 2004 (16:06:2004)	12 Ma	ıy 2005 (12.05.2005)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U Mail Stop PCT, Aur. IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimite No. (703)305-3236	Viaci	rized officer White results (with Miller rione No. 703-306-5486				
Sorres MC TIME A MOQ formuse wheat's holy 100	26.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	International application No.	***************************************
3		4
1	peramananaisis	

Ĭ.	Basis of	the report
3.	With rega	rd to the elements of the international application:*
	I the	international application as originally filed.
	N the	description:
	pa	ges <u>1-25</u> as originally filed
	93	ges NONE filed with the demand
	e:x	jes <u>NONE</u>
		claims:
	pa;	ges <u>26-58</u> , as originally filed ges <u>NONE</u> , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pa;	ges <u>NONE</u> , filed with the demand
	pā;	ges <u>NONE</u> , filed with the letter of
		drawings
	pa	ges 1-20 as originally filed
	pa	ges <u>NONE</u> filed with the demand ges <u>NONE</u> , filed with the letter of
	,,,,,,,,	
		sequence listing part of the description:
	92. 88.	ges <u>NONE</u> as originally filed ges <u>NONE</u> , filed with the demand
	pa	ges NONE, filed with the letter of
Ž:		and to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
		s in which the imernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	,	cments were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	Similian Company	language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
		language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 2 and/or 55.3).
3.		ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the onal preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	co	ntained in the international application in printed form.
	6b	ad together with the international application in computer readable form.
	ful fu	nished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	ftu	mished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	T	e statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
	int	emational application as filed has been furnished.
		e statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing security sequences been furnished.
₫,	Ti	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of
	Г	
	ř	the claims, Nos. NONE
	ļ.	the description, pages <u>NONE</u> the claims, Nos. <u>NONE</u> the drawings, sheets/ fig <u>NONE</u>
5.	TT 75.	is report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
	****	ond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Bule 70.2(c)).**
ih.	is report a	ent sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 71,16 and 70.17), coment sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/41535

Claims 1-189 Claims NONE Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-189 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims NONE Claims 1-189 Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-189 Claims Interference to the independent claims Odom teal (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or farly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-becommerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process including designating a seasonicated with a tisting for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating as associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing spon adjusting the fixed price of the reasonism is greater than the adjusted fixed price of reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable bid is interpreted to include the condition witerein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive. NEW CITATIONS US 6,430,545 B) (HONARVAR et al) August 6, 2002, see entire document	ž
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims NONE Claims I-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or farrly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference in the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-browners including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller is adjuffixed price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 5 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 23-35 and Column 6 lines (SS). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable hid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive.	
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims NONE Claims I-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or farrly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference in the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-browners including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller is adjuffixed price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 5 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 23-35 and Column 6 lines (SS). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable hid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive.	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,658,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adjusted price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable hid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive.	
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,658,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adjusted price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable hid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive.	
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-189 Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adip fixed price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the suction art and an acceptable hid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive.	
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adjifixed price offer associated with a tisting for an item during a network-based suction price-setting process including designating a sesociated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable bid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive. NEW CITATIONS	NO.
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art Odom et al (US Patent 6,058,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adjifixed price offer associated with a tisting for an item during a network-based suction price-setting process including designating a sesociated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable bid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive. NEW CITATIONS	YES
Claims 1-189 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior at Odom et al (US Patent 6,038,379 A) does not or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. With reference to the independent claims Odom teaches a system, method, and machine readable medium for network-b commerce including a processor coupled to a memory through a bus; and a fixed price-setting process controlled by a seller to adip fixed price offer associated with a listing for an item during a network-based auction price-setting process including designating a associated with a maximum bid for the listing, as a winner of the listing upon adjusting the fixed price offer, wherein the maximum is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer (See Odom Abstract, Column 3 lines 21-45, Column 5 lines 25-35 and Column 6 lines 55). Fixed price or reserve price is old and well known in the auction art and an acceptable bid is interpreted to include the condition wherein the maximum bid is greater than the adjusted fixed price offer. However Odom fails to reach or fairly suggest the step of automating the decisions of a seller using a processor. The arguments of the Applicants in response to the written opinion (Form 408) have been considered and are found to be persuasive. NEW CITATIONS	
	seed ust a buyer, n bid s 30- on
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Bax V) (July 1998)	